

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Briggs
<b>Given Name</b>	Kate
<b>Person ID</b>	1287011
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFEKateBriggs.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Briggs
<b>Given Name</b>	Kate
<b>Person ID</b>	1287011
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>There is absolutely no consideration for the already crippled road network and transport links around this proposed site. The planners clearly do not live in this area or face ridiculous commutes on a daily basis in an area that is often gridlocked, buses often overflowing on the guided bus way and without any other effective public transport in the area to encourage locals not to use their cars. Traffic calming in the Hazelhurst Road area is already in place and does absolutely nothing to reduce speed and quantity of traffic, which is often backed up in all areas. Cars are double parked in all areas around Hazelhurst due to inadequate private parking. Off road parking is extremely limited.</p> <p>High school provision is inferior forcing many residents to transport children out of the area and primary school provision massively over subscribed. Local doctors practices are overwhelmed and inadequate for the number of patients requiring a local GP. NHS Dentists are non-existent in the area. I am flabbergasted as to where the extra potential 800 cars, 800 children minimum and their 800 parents will be catered for on the roads, in the schools and at local GP"s and NHS dentists.</p> <p>The health impact on the area with increased pollution will also be exacerbated. As a parent of two children with asthma, this is gravely concerning given Salfords status as an area with above average pollution levels.</p> <p>Current council tax banding in the area is already ridiculously high and doesn"t reflect the standard of services that Salford Council provide, ie pot holes, litter, overflowing public bins, lack of regular street cleaning etc.</p> <p>The only logical reason as to how this plan has ever been put forward is to financially benefit private businesses and individuals, not local people. It is not protecting or providing for local people. This will not be affordable housing</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	for the masses which is what the Government clearly needs to be concentrating on. It will only cause misery, inconvenience and the creation of an even more chaotic, congested and sprawling locality which ruins any charm that the area has benefitted from up to this point in time.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	It is time to use common sense in stead of lining the pockets of private businesses and individuals and build houses where there is the infrastructure to cope.
<b>Family Name</b>	Briggs
<b>Given Name</b>	Kate
<b>Person ID</b>	1287011
<b>Title</b>	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Briggs
<b>Given Name</b>	Kate
<b>Person ID</b>	1287011
<b>Title</b>	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No